

UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

> OFFICE OF LAND AND EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT

Date: 11.19.2021

COVERSHEET: EXPLANATION OF CITATION AND/OR TERMINOLOGY CHANGES IN THIS POLICY DOCUMENT

This policy document remains wholly in effect, but some or all of the regulatory citations within it have changed. These changes do not alter the existing regulatory interpretations.

As part of the <u>2016 Hazardous Waste Generator Improvements Rule</u>, many of the regulations that apply to hazardous waste generators were moved to, or reorganized within, title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) part 262. To view a crosswalk between the old and new citations, please visit the <u>Hazardous Waste Generator Regulations Crosswalk webpage</u>.

The Hazardous Waste Generator Improvements Rule also made changes to terms that may be included in this document. The most common term change was replacing "conditionally exempt small quantity generators" (CESQGs) with "very small quantity generators" (VSQGs). In addition, EPA defined the term "central accumulation area" (CAA) to mean a generator's 90- or 180-day accumulation area for hazardous waste.

Jessica Goung

Jessica Young Chief of the Recycling and Generator Branch Office of Resource Conservation and Recovery

JULY 29, 1988

J. D. Akenhead Hazardous Waste Specialist Nevada Small Business Development Center College of Business Administration University of Nevada - Reno Reno, Nevada 8955-0100

Dear Mr. Akenhead:

This is in response to your July 8, 1988, letter concerning the regulatory status of dry cleaners who recycle spent cartridge filters. You should note that the following is an explanation of Federal rules. Individual States may adopt more stringent rules under their own authorities.

As explained to you by Mike Petruska in your telephone conversation of July 19, under Federal rules (40 CFR Section 261.5(c)), a generator should count only that hazardous waste that is subject to regulation when making the small quantity generator determinations in Section 261.5 or Section 262.34(d). See 40 CFR 261.5(c). Hazardous waste or minimal regulation that is directly recycled on-site (i.e., without prior storage) is not counted because presently EPA does not regulate on-site reclamation processes. (See the preamble discussion of March 24, 1986, 51 <u>FR</u> 10152, enclosed for your information.)

This means that, although the spent filters do in fact contain hazardous waste, a generator need not count them against the 100 kilogram monthly limit as long as he places the filter in the distillation unit directly, without intervening storage. Residues from the still would, however, have to be counted. If however, the dry cleaner must store or accumulate the spent cartridge filters before they are recycled, the "wet" filter when first removed from the unit would have to be counted in calculating the quantity of hazardous waste generated.

If you have further questions in this area, please contact Mike Petruska directly at (202) 475-9888.

Sincerely,

Sylvia K. Lowrance, Director Office of Solid Waste

Enclosure

UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA-RENO

JD Akenhead Hazardous Waste Specialist

Nevada Small Business Development Center College of Business Administration University of Nevada-Reno Reno, Nevada 89577-0100 (701) 784-1717 (800) 882-3233

U.S. E.P.A. Sylvia Lawrence Director, Office of Solid Waste WH-562 401 M Street SW Washington, DC 20460

Dear Sylvia:

Here at the Nevada Small Business Development Center, in conjunction with the Nevada Division of Environmental Protection, we are spon8oring an informational program to educate Small Quantity Generators on the present RCRA regulations. I confirmed my suspicions of the following problem with g call to the national RCRA hotline and will request something in writing on EPA policy regarding this problem:

A drycleaner removes a spent "wet" filter laden with perchloroethylene. He intends to recycle a large portion of the contained fluid. In terms of hazardous waste generation to be quantified regarding the drycleaner's status as being conditionally exempt or a SQG, should the weight of the "wet" filter when first removed be used for the quantification. or should the final weight of the treated filter be used?

I suspect the EPA policy under RCRA is to use the weight of the "wet" filter, this will undoubtedly qualify a large portion of the area drycleaners as SQG instead of being conditionally exempt. A representative of the health department and myself will be presenting a seminar for the dry-cleaning industry in the beginning of August. Since the industry may not be pleased with their SQG status, I would appreciate a timely reply so that I can rest assured that I an distributing the right information.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

J.D. Akenhead Hazardous Waste Specialist

FaxBack # 11359